IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

	٠,	FILED
Γ		
S		AUG 5 2017
	() () () ()	RK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	Ву	RK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	্ণ	Deputy

DERICK DEVUN HAYNES,

ID # 31338-177,

Movant,

vs.

No. 3:03-CR-372-M

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent.

)

ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

After reviewing the objections to the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge and conducting a *de novo* review of those parts of the Findings and Conclusions to which objections have been made, the Court is of the opinion that the Findings and Conclusions of the Magistrate Judge are correct and they are accepted as the Findings and Conclusions of the Court. For the reasons stated in the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge, the movant's post-judgment motion (doc. 108) is construed as a successive 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion and will be **TRANSFERRED** to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit pursuant to *Henderson v. Haro*, 282 F.3d 862, 864 (5th Cir. 2002) and *In re Epps*, 127 F.3d 364, 365 (5th Cir. 1997).

The Clerk of the Court is **DIRECTED** to (1) terminate the post-judgment motion in this criminal case; (2) open a new habeas case for administrative purposes only; (3) file the post-judgment motion as a § 2255 motion filed June 30, 2017, in that new case; (4) directly assign the new case to the same District Judge and Magistrate Judge as in this case; (5) file a copy of the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge and the order accepting those Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation, and the judgment in that new case; and (6) and without further judicial action, immediately **TRANSFER** the newly opened § 2255

action to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit.

SIGNED this _____ day of August, 2017.

BARBARA M. G.

CHIEF JUDGE